The Detection Algorithms Performance in BLAST Enhanced IEEE 802.11a WLAN Standard on Measured Channels



<u>Robert Piechocki</u>, Paul Fletcher, Andy Nix, Nishan Canagarajah and Joe McGeehan



The Third IEEE Workshop on Wireless Local Area Networks, Sep. 27-28 The Boston Marriott Hotel, Newton, Massachusetts

University of Bristol

Motivations

MIMO-OFDM HOT TOPIC!

MIMO indoor channel sounding

BLAST-OFDM

Numerical Results



Motivations

Capacity of MIMO links

(Telatar, 1995; Foschini & Gans 1998)



Capacity increases linearly with N! e.g. 40 dB for 80 b/s/Hz !!!

University of Bristol

MIMO Measurements – Setup

Wideband indoor MIMO measurements

Customised Medav RUSK BRI vector channel sounder

□ 5.2 GHz operating frequency

□ 120 MHz bandwidth

up to 8 Tx elements by 8 Rx elements

 \Box complete MIMO snapshot of the channel: 102.4 µs (well within coherence time of the channel)

Measurements were taken in large open plan office with approximate dimensions 30L x 20W x 4H (m).

MIMO Measurements – Access Point





Circular array of printed dipoles used for the Access Point

University of Bristol

MIMO Measurements – Mobile Terminal





"Laptop-sized" four double polarized element antenna array used for the Mobile Terminal

University of Bristol



MIMO – OFDM: A General Schematic



University of Bristol

MIMO – OFDM: Approaches

I. Space Time Coding (Frequency-Space in OFDM)

(Tarohk, Seshadri & Calderbank 1998)

PROS

- Channel coding (error correction)
- Transmit diversity
- Modulation mapping
- Receive diversity
- ✤ All of the above optimised jointly

CONS

- No data throughput improvement
- Complexity sensitive to modulation format

Improves mainly link reliability

MIMO – OFDM: Approaches

II. Spatial Multiplexing (BLAST)

(Foschini 1996, Paulraj & Kailath 1994)

PROS

Directly exploits MIMO channel
 capacity to improve the data throughput

CONS

poor link reliability - requires channel coding

Improves mainly data throughput



Cyclic prefix OFDM signal model (CP-OFDM)

SIMO case revisited:

 i^{th} transmitted block of data

 $\overline{\mathbf{u}}_i = \mathbf{T}_{cp} \, \mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{u}_i$

received signal block pertaining to \mathbf{u}_i

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}}_i = \mathbf{H}_0 \overline{\mathbf{u}}_i + \mathbf{H}_1 \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{i-1} + \overline{\mathbf{\eta}}_i$$

 \mathbf{T}_{cp} - CP insertion matrix (*P* x *K*) **F** - Fourier transform matrix (*K* x *K*)

 \mathbf{H}_0 , \mathbf{H}_1 - Toeplitz channel matrices $\mathbf{\eta}_i$ - the ubiquitous additive noise vector

input-output relationship

$$\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{T}_R \mathbf{H}_0 \mathbf{T}_{cp} \mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{F} \mathbf{\eta}_i \qquad \mathbf{T}_R - \mathbf{C} \mathbf{P} \text{ remove}$$

University of Bristol

 $\mathbf{T}_{R}\mathbf{H}_{0}\mathbf{T}_{cp}$ is circulant, and thus is diagonalised by **F**

$$\mathbf{F} \mathbf{T}_{R} \mathbf{H}_{0} \mathbf{T}_{cp} \mathbf{F}^{-1} = \widetilde{\mathbf{H}} = \begin{bmatrix} h(\omega^{0}) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & h(\omega^{1}) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h(\omega^{2}) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & h(\omega^{K-1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, the CP-OFDM SIMO case is given

$$\mathbf{x}_i = \widetilde{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{u}_i + \widetilde{\mathbf{\eta}}_i$$

University of Bristol

Extension to MIMO CP-OFDM configuration with N transmit and M received antennas

Define: N stacked \mathbf{u}_i vectors (K*N x 1) – $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i}^{(1)T} & \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i}^{(2)T} & \cdots & \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i}^{(N-1)T} & \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i}^{(N)T} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$ transmitted signal (Frequency - Space) $\boldsymbol{\chi}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{(1)T} & \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{(2)T} & \cdots & \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{(M-1)T} & \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{(M)T} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{stacked vector of the} \\ \text{received data} \left(K^{*}M \times 1 \right) \end{bmatrix}$ $\boldsymbol{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{1,1} & \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{1,2} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{1,N} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{2,1} & \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{2,2} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{2,N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{M,1} & \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{M,2} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{M,N} \end{bmatrix}$ the overall channel matrix **Fundamental** $\chi_i = H \mu_i + \eta'_i$ model:

University of Bristol

The Maximum Likelihood (ML) detector of V-Blast CP-OFDM

$$\mathbf{v}_{i} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{v}_{i}} \left\{ \left| \boldsymbol{\chi}_{i} - \boldsymbol{H} \, \mathbf{v}_{i} \right|^{2} \right\}$$

Since **H** is built up of diagonal matrices, it is possible to separate the above problem into K independent but lower dimensional linear problems (i.e. each subcarrier is treated separately)

Define:
$$\mathbf{\bar{x}}_{k} = \mathbf{C}_{k}^{(M)^{T}} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{i}$$
 and $\mathbf{\bar{H}}_{k} = \mathbf{C}_{k}^{(M)^{T}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{C}_{k}^{(N)}$
Finally ML V-BLAST: \mathbf{C}_{k} - compaction matrices (subcarrier separation)
 $\mathbf{\bar{v}}_{i,k} = \arg\min\left\{\left|\mathbf{\bar{x}}_{i,k} - \mathbf{\bar{H}}\mathbf{\bar{v}}_{i,k}\right|^{2}\right\}$

 $\breve{\mathbf{v}}_{i.k}$

University of Bristol

Linear Detection – Zero Forcing (ZF)

 $\breve{\mathbf{v}}_{i,k} = \mathbf{G}_k \ \breve{\mathbf{x}}_{i,k}$

Where:
$$\mathbf{G}_{k} = \left(\widecheck{\mathbf{H}}_{k}^{H} \widecheck{\mathbf{H}}_{k} \right)^{-1} \widecheck{\mathbf{H}}_{k}$$

Represents Least Squares solution

Ordered Successive Interference Cancellation (OSIC) (G. Golden et al 1999)



Maximum Likelihood Zero Forcing Hybrid - ZFML (X. Li et al, 2000)

- Step 1: Perform ZF and identify shortlists for each antenna
- Step 2: Perform ML search on shortlists



Numerical Results

(1/4)

96 Mb/s, 2 Tx by 2 & 4 Rx, 16 QAM,

MIMO channel estimated via sequential preamble



University of Bristol



University of Bristol



University of Bristol



University of Bristol

Conclusions

Possibility of 192 Mb/s (uncoded in IEEE 802.11A band) in a real setting has been demonstrated

□ Difference between an ideal setting and our measured channel is 12 dB (@ BER 10⁻², 2x4, 16-QAM)

Difference in the performance between the detection algorithms tightens as the number of receive antennas increases

□ Future research into MIMO-OFDM:

- □ Flexible blend of spatial multiplexing/frequency space coding
- □ Advanced detection algorithms (Reduced complexity)
- □ Antenna configurations/strategies studies

Authors gratefully acknowledge sponsorship provided by QinetiQ Ltd

University of Bristol

References

G. Golden, G. Foschini, R. Valenzuela and W.Wolniansky "Detection algorithm and initial laboratory results using the V-BLAST space-time communication architecture", IEE Electronics Letters, vol.35, no.1, pp.14-15, Jan 1999.

V. Tarokh, N. Seshadri, and A. Calderbank, "Space-time codes for high data rate wireless communication - performance criterion and code construction" IEEE Trans on Information Theory, Vol. 44, No. 2, March 1998, pp. 744 – 765.

X. Li, H. Huang, A. Lozano, G. Foschini, "Reduced complexity detection algorithms for systems using multi-element arrays", IEEE Globecom 2000, San Francisco,

G.J. Foschini, M.J. Gans, "On Limits of Wireless Communications in a Fading Environment when Using Multiple Antennas" Wireless Personal Communications, 1998, 6, (3), pp.311-335.

G. Foschini, "Layered space-time architecture for wireless communication in fading environment when using multi—element antennas", BLTJ, Autumn, 1996.

I.E. Telatar "Capacity of multi-antenna Gaussian channels", tech. Rep. AT&T Bell Labs internal memo. 1995.

A. Paulraj and T. Kailath "Increasing capacity in wireless systems using distributed transmission/directional reception (DTDR)", Sep 1994.

University of Bristol